BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation
Against:

WALTER JAMES GRANT, M.D.
3641 Country Club Drive
Bakersfield, California

Physician's and Surgeon's
Certificate No. C-15022,

Respondent.

Respondent.

DECISION

Deci	sion of th	e Ad	minis	strative	≥ Law
the	Board of	Med	ical	Quality	7
as	its	_ De	cisio	on in th	ıe
ecome	effective	on	Mar	ch 7, 1	983
	the as	the Board of as its	the Board of Med	the Board of Medical as its Decision	as <u>its</u> Decision in th

DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

MILLER MEDEARIS

Secretary-Treasurer

BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

)

)

RECEIVED
SAGRAMENTO
BOARD OF MEDICAL
UVALITY ASSURANCE

DEC 21 8 54 AM '82

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

WALTER JAMES GRANT, M.D. 3641 Country Club Drive Bakersfield, California 93306

Physician's and Surgeon's

Certificate No. C-15022,

Respondent.

CASE NO. D-2834

L-25858

PROPOSED DECISION

This matter came on regularly for hearing before Willis Mevis, Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings, at Bakersfield, California, on October 5 and 6, 1982, at the hour of 9:00 a.m. Ruth Essegian, Deputy Attorney General, represented the complainant. The respondent appeared in person and was represented by Timothy Lemucchi, Attorney at Law. This matter was consolidated for hearing with the Accusation in Health Services Case No. 1-0040, OAH L-25859. Oral and documentary evidence having been received and the matter submitted, the Administrative Law Judge finds the following facts:

Ι

Robert G. Rowland is the Executive Secretary of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance (hereinafter referred to as "Board") and made and filed this Accusation in his official capacity.

ΙI

On or about August 7, 1953, respondent Walter James Grant, M.D. (hereinafter referred to as "respondent") was issued physician's and surgeon's certificate no. C-15022 by the Board of

Medical Examiners (predecessor to the Board). At all times mentioned herein, said license has been and now is in full force and effect. At all times herein mentioned respondent was a Medi-Cal provider, assigned provider number 00Cl50220, within the meaning of Section 51051 of Title 22 of the California Administrative Code, and was certified to receive payment from the State of California under said provider number. Effective May 19, 1982, said provider permit was suspended for three (3) years.

III

Respondent in writing admitted the facts set forth in the Accusation.

IV

A. On or about March 19, 1981, in Superior Court of California, County of Kern, in a case entitled "People of California v. Walter J. Grant, M.D.," case number 21833, respondent, following his plea of guilty, was convicted of felony, grand theft, a violation of California Penal Code Section 487(1). Respondent was placed on probation for three years and ordered to pay, and has paid, to the Medi-Cal Program of the State of California the sum of \$21,714.03. Additional conditions of probation included the requirement that any future billings to Medi-Cal be cleared to the satisfaction of the Attorney General.

B. The underlying facts of said conviction are substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a physician and surgeon.

V

On the following patients, respondent submitted claims to the program for psychotherapeutic services purportedly rendered to said patients. In truth and in fact, said services were not rendered by respondent to said patients.

				AMOUNT BILLED BY DOCTOR AND
PATIENT	r'S	DATE OF CLAIMED	CHECK NUMBER	WRONGFULLY
NAME		SERVICES	PAID TO DOCTOR	OBTAINED
Donna	Α.	10-20-79	20617421	\$19.20
11		4-5-80	21487356	12.48
11		4-19-80	21487356	12.48
David	A.	8-25-79	20294917	19.20
Susan	A.	3-23-80	21366173	14.99

PATIENT'S NAME	DATE OF CLAIMED SERVICES	CHECK NUMBER PAID TO DOCTOR	AMOUNT BILLED BY DOCTOR AND WRONGFULLY OBTAINED
Wanda B.	3-23-80	21366173	\$14.99
11	4-5-80	21533641	3.00
Randi C.	3-23-80	21487356	17.20
11	4-5-80	21691514	6.00
Mark C.	10-20-79	20617419	19.20
11	4-5-80	21487355	12.48
11	4-9-80	21487355	12.48
Ted C.	9-1-79	20676792	32.00
100 07	10-20-79	20676792	19.20
11	3-23-80	21317898	20.70
ti .	4-5-80	21487355	12.48
11	4-19-80	21487355 21487355	12.48
Blanche C.	3-23-80	21366173	14.99
"	4-5-80	21641576	15.00
Charlene D.	10-20-79	20617420	19.20
"	3-23-80	21417898	20.70
II	4-5-80	21581337	12.48
11	4-19-80	21581337	12.48
Roy Lee D.	10-20-79	20676792	19.20
Rocky E.	3-23-80	21366172	14.99
"	4-5-80	21581337	15.00
Barbara E.	3-23-80	21366173	15.00
Susan E.	8-17-78	17777881	19.20
11	8-31-78	17777881	19.20
Lucille F.	10-20-79	20617420	19.20
11	4-5-80	21487356	12.48
TI .	4-19-80	21487356	12.48
Mary G.	3-23-80	21417898	20.70
11	4-19-80	21487355	12.48
Jesse H.	3-23-80	21323499	14.99
11	4-5-80	21581337	15.00
Cuba H.	4-5-80	21487356	12.48
11	4-19-80	21487356	12.48
Esther J.	10-20-79	20617420	19.20
Robert M.	11-17-79	20983137	19.20
Daniel M.	12-19-78	18627276	32.00
Joan S.	10 20-79	20617420	19.20
. 11	3-23-80	21417898	20.70
Sharon W.	10-20-79	20617420	19.20
		TOTAL	\$719.79

On the following patients, respondent submitted claims to the program for psychotherapeutic services in excess of the actual time of service rendered to said patients:

PATIENT'S NAME	DATE OF SERVICE	ACTUAL TIME w/ PATIENT	TIME BILLED TO MEDI-CAL	CHECK NO. PAID TO DOCTOR	OVERPAYMENT AND AMOUNT OF MONEY WRONGFULLY OBTAINED
Donna A. Lucille F. Cuba H.	3-23-80 3-23-80 3-23-80	5-10 min. 5 " 10 "	25 min.	21417898	\$ 6.90 6.90 6.90
				TOTAL	\$20.70

On the following patients, respondent submitted claims for psychotherapeutic services when in truth and in fact he only rendered treatment for physical ailments, but billed at the higher psychotherapy rate:

PATIENT'S NAME	DATE OF SERVICE	CHECK NUMBER	AMOUNTS PAID AS BILLED FOR PSYCHOTHERAPY	MEDI-CAL ALLOWANCES IF PROPERLY BILLED	OVERPAYMENT WRONGFULLY OBTAINED
Clifford G	. 9-1-78	18230010	\$32.00	\$11.04	\$20.96
11		18230010	32.00	11.04	20.96
Debra G.	6-6-79	19931751	19.20	11.04	8.16
Lorrie G.	7-17-78		32.00	11.04	20.96
Mary D.G.	9-19-78	18230010	32.00	11.04	20,96
Lena E.	3-14-79	193072⊥3	32.00	11.04	20.96
lt .	3-28-79	19307213	32.00	11.04	20.96
Carol E.	12-13-78	18627274	32.00	11.04	20.96
11	12-27-78	18627274	32.00	11.04	20.96
Beatrice G	.12-13-78	18627273	32.00	11.04	20.96
**	12-29-78	18627273	32.00	11.04	20.96
Torrance H	. 4-2-79	19523655	19.20	11.04	8.16
Shiana M.	4-2-79	19523655	19.20	11.04	8.16
Lidia Z.	5-5-78	17220590	32.00	11.04	20.96
11	5-15-78	17220590	32.00	11.04	20.96
Raquel Z.	10.16-79	20617416	32.00	12.48	19.52
Richard Z.	2-26-79	19090597	32.00	11.04	20.96
Teodoro Z.		17220590	32.00	11.04	20.96
rr	5-15-78	17220590	32.00	11.04	20.96
			T	OTAL	\$358.40

The total of the examples above is \$1,098.89.

VI

The respondent presented the following evidence by way of mitigation:

A. Respondent has been engaged in the practice of psychiatry since approximately 1946. He then entered army service followed by service for the Veterans Administration until 1974, at which time he accepted employment with the Kern County Mental Health Services for five years. He points out that in this thirty years plus of governmental service he has never involved in billing for any professional services and in particular in billing under Medi-Cal/Medicare procedures.

- B. When respondent ultimately entered private practice, he sought and obtained the services of a friend, Edward Harris, a well qualified hospital administrator, to help set up his record keeping procedures.
- C. Joyce Patterson, office manager for the respondent during 1978-1979, testified that it was her practice to call the board and care homes and obtain from them the visits of the doctor and obtain the "stickers" for the patients from them which she used in billing. Office visits were billed by the time she observed the patients to be in the office, checking the diagnosis from the chart. The respondent himself kept or maintained minimal records of and lists of patients other than medical charts. The record is blank as to whether this system of charging was of her own design, that of the respondent or of Edward Harris.
- D. The record further established that the respondent maintained offices in the "poor part of town"; that his practice was 80% Medi-Cal; that he was the only psychiatrist in Bakersfield available to board and care homes on weekends; and that he never refused his services to anyone for the lack of funds. Local doctors of medicine testified as to the respondent's good character and professional ability.

VII

As a condition of probation the respondent was directed and has served \$16,000 per R.V.S. Code of community service, following the completion of which the probation was terminated.

VIII

The facts herein found to be true were established by clear and convincing evidence.

* * * * *

Pursuant to the foregoing findings of fact, the Administrative Law Judge makes the following determination of issues:

Grounds to suspend or revoke the respondent's license for unprofessional conduct were established pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 490, 2236(a) and (b), 2234(e), 2261, 2220 and 2234.

* * * * *

 $[\]frac{1}{A}$ s a condition of probation, the Court appointed Harris with the approval of the Attorney General's office, to oversee the respondent's billing.

WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:

The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C-15022, heretofore issued to Walter James Grant, M.D., is revoked; provided, however, said order of revocation is stayed for a period of five (5) years upon the following terms and conditions:

- 1. Respondent shall obey all the federal, state and local laws and all rules governing the practice of medicine in California.
- Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division stating where there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.
- Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program.
- Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division's medical consultant upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.
- In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the state, respondent must notify in writing the Division of the dates of departure and return. Periods of residency or practice outside California will not apply to the reduction of this probationary period.
- 6. If respondent violates this probation in any respect, the Division after providing notice to respondent and giving him the opportunity to be heard may set aside the stay order and reimpose the order of revocation of respondent's certificate.

Upon successful completion of the five year period of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.

> I hereby submit the foregoing which constitutes my Proposed Decision in the above entitled matter as a result of the hearing had before me on October 5 and 6, 1982, at Bakersfield, California, and recommend its adoption as the decision of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance.

DATED:)

WILLIS MEVIS Administrative baw Judge Office of Administrative Hearings

WM:ss

fice

BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1.1500

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

WALTER JAMES GRANT, M.D. Certificate No. C-15022

Respondent

No. D-2834

L- 25858

NOTICE OF ORDER OF REMAND

TO: The hearing tribunal and to the parties and their attorneys of record:

In Ettinger v. Board of Medical Quality Assurance (1982) 135 Cal. App. 853, the Court of Appeal ruled "that the proper standard of proof in an administrative hearing to revoke or suspend a doctor's license should be clear and convincing proof to a reasonable certainty and not a mere preponderance of the evidence." (Ettinger rule)

Recently, the California Supreme Court denied the medical board's petition for hearing in the Ettinger case.

In light of these circumstances, on November 18, 1982, the Division of Medical Quality made an order remanding the above-captioned case to the hearing tribunal to include in its findings a statement of the standard of proof used in the case and to redetermine the cause in accordance with the Ettinger rule. The tribunal is requested to give this matter priority consideration and hopefully complete this remand within 45 days. The tribunal shall not take additional evidence.

DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE

DATED: 1000 24, 1952

By: Style /

STEPHEN R. WILFORD
Assistant Executive Director

BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

)

)

RECEIVED
SAGRAMENTO
BOARD OF MEDICAL
UVALITY ASSURANCE

DEC 21 8 54 AM '82

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

WALTER JAMES GRANT, M.D. 3641 Country Club Drive Bakersfield, California 93306

Physician's and Surgeon's

Certificate No. C-15022,

Respondent.

CASE NO. D-2834

L-25858

PROPOSED DECISION

This matter came on regularly for hearing before Willis Mevis, Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings, at Bakersfield, California, on October 5 and 6, 1982, at the hour of 9:00 a.m. Ruth Essegian, Deputy Attorney General, represented the complainant. The respondent appeared in person and was represented by Timothy Lemucchi, Attorney at Law. This matter was consolidated for hearing with the Accusation in Health Services Case No. 1-0040, OAH L-25859. Oral and documentary evidence having been received and the matter submitted, the Administrative Law Judge finds the following facts:

Ι

Robert G. Rowland is the Executive Secretary of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance (hereinafter referred to as "Board") and made and filed this Accusation in his official capacity.

ΙI

On or about August 7, 1953, respondent Walter James Grant, M.D. (hereinafter referred to as "respondent") was issued physician's and surgeon's certificate no. C-15022 by the Board of

Medical Examiners (predecessor to the Board). At all times mentioned herein, said license has been and now is in full force and effect. At all times herein mentioned respondent was a Medi-Cal provider, assigned provider number 00Cl50220, within the meaning of Section 51051 of Title 22 of the California Administrative Code, and was certified to receive payment from the State of California under said provider number. Effective May 19, 1982, said provider permit was suspended for three (3) years.

III

Respondent in writing admitted the facts set forth in the Accusation.

IV

A. On or about March 19, 1981, in Superior Court of California, County of Kern, in a case entitled "People of California v. Walter J. Grant, M.D.," case number 21833, respondent, following his plea of guilty, was convicted of felony, grand theft, a violation of California Penal Code Section 487(1). Respondent was placed on probation for three years and ordered to pay, and has paid, to the Medi-Cal Program of the State of California the sum of \$21,714.03. Additional conditions of probation included the requirement that any future billings to Medi-Cal be cleared to the satisfaction of the Attorney General.

B. The underlying facts of said conviction are substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a physician and surgeon.

V

On the following patients, respondent submitted claims to the program for psychotherapeutic services purportedly rendered to said patients. In truth and in fact, said services were not rendered by respondent to said patients.

				AMOUNT BILLED BY DOCTOR AND
PATIENT	r'S	DATE OF CLAIMED	CHECK NUMBER	WRONGFULLY
NAME		SERVICES	PAID TO DOCTOR	OBTAINED
Donna	Α.	10-20-79	20617421	\$19.20
11		4-5-80	21487356	12.48
11		4-19-80	21487356	12.48
David	A.	8-25-79	20294917	19.20
Susan	A.	3-23-80	21366173	14.99

PATIENT'S NAME	DATE OF CLAIMED SERVICES	CHECK NUMBER PAID TO DOCTOR	AMOUNT BILLED BY DOCTOR AND WRONGFULLY OBTAINED
Wanda B.	3-23-80	21366173	\$14.99
11	4-5-80	21533641	3.00
Randi C.	3-23-80	21487356	17.20
11	4-5-80	21691514	6.00
Mark C.	10-20-79	20617419	19.20
11	4-5-80	21487355	12.48
11	4-9-80	21487355	12.48
Ted C.	9-1-79	20676792	32.00
100 07	10-20-79	20676792	19.20
11	3-23-80	21317898	20.70
ti .	4-5-80	21487355	12.48
11	4-19-80	21487355 21487355	12.48
Blanche C.	3-23-80	21366173	14.99
"	4-5-80	21641576	15.00
Charlene D.	10-20-79	20617420	19.20
"	3-23-80	21417898	20.70
II	4-5-80	21581337	12.48
11	4-19-80	21581337	12.48
Roy Lee D.	10-20-79	20676792	19.20
Rocky E.	3-23-80	21366172	14.99
"	4-5-80	21581337	15.00
Barbara E.	3-23-80	21366173	15.00
Susan E.	8-17-78	17777881	19.20
11	8-31-78	17777881	19.20
Lucille F.	10-20-79	20617420	19.20
11	4-5-80	21487356	12.48
TI .	4-19-80	21487356	12.48
Mary G.	3-23-80	21417898	20.70
11	4-19-80	21487355	12.48
Jesse H.	3-23-80	21323499	14.99
11	4-5-80	21581337	15.00
Cuba H.	4-5-80	21487356	12.48
11	4-19-80	21487356	12.48
Esther J.	10-20-79	20617420	19.20
Robert M.	11-17-79	20983137	19.20
Daniel M.	12-19-78	18627276	32.00
Joan S.	10 20-79	20617420	19.20
. 11	3-23-80	21417898	20.70
Sharon W.	10-20-79	20617420	19.20
		TOTAL	\$719.79

On the following patients, respondent submitted claims to the program for psychotherapeutic services in excess of the actual time of service rendered to said patients:

PATIENT'S NAME	DATE OF SERVICE	ACTUAL TIME w/ PATIENT	TIME BILLED TO MEDI-CAL	CHECK NO. PAID TO DOCTOR	OVERPAYMENT AND AMOUNT OF MONEY WRONGFULLY OBTAINED
Donna A. Lucille F. Cuba H.	3-23-80 3-23-80 3-23-80	5-10 min. 5 " 10 "	25 min.	21417898	\$ 6.90 6.90 6.90
				TOTAL	\$20.70

On the following patients, respondent submitted claims for psychotherapeutic services when in truth and in fact he only rendered treatment for physical ailments, but billed at the higher psychotherapy rate:

PATIENT'S NAME	DATE OF SERVICE	CHECK NUMBER	AMOUNTS PAID AS BILLED FOR PSYCHOTHERAPY	MEDI-CAL ALLOWANCES IF PROPERLY BILLED	OVERPAYMENT WRONGFULLY OBTAINED
Clifford G	. 9-1-78	18230010	\$32.00	\$11.04	\$20.96
11		18230010	32.00	11.04	20.96
Debra G.	6-6-79	19931751	19.20	11.04	8.16
Lorrie G.	7-17-78		32.00	11.04	20.96
Mary D.G.	9-19-78	18230010	32.00	11.04	20,96
Lena E.	3-14-79	193072⊥3	32.00	11.04	20.96
lt .	3-28-79	19307213	32.00	11.04	20.96
Carol E.	12-13-78	18627274	32.00	11.04	20.96
11	12-27-78	18627274	32.00	11.04	20.96
Beatrice G	.12-13-78	18627273	32.00	11.04	20.96
**	12-29-78	18627273	32.00	11.04	20.96
Torrance H	. 4-2-79	19523655	19.20	11.04	8.16
Shiana M.	4-2-79	19523655	19.20	11.04	8.16
Lidia Z.	5-5-78	17220590	32.00	11.04	20.96
11	5-15-78	17220590	32.00	11.04	20.96
Raquel Z.	10.16-79	20617416	32.00	12.48	19.52
Richard Z.	2-26-79	19090597	32.00	11.04	20.96
Teodoro Z.		17220590	32.00	11.04	20.96
rr	5-15-78	17220590	32.00	11.04	20.96
			T	OTAL	\$358.40

The total of the examples above is \$1,098.89.

VI

The respondent presented the following evidence by way of mitigation:

A. Respondent has been engaged in the practice of psychiatry since approximately 1946. He then entered army service followed by service for the Veterans Administration until 1974, at which time he accepted employment with the Kern County Mental Health Services for five years. He points out that in this thirty years plus of governmental service he has never involved in billing for any professional services and in particular in billing under Medi-Cal/Medicare procedures.

- B. When respondent ultimately entered private practice, he sought and obtained the services of a friend, Edward Harris, a well qualified hospital administrator, to help set up his record keeping procedures.
- C. Joyce Patterson, office manager for the respondent during 1978-1979, testified that it was her practice to call the board and care homes and obtain from them the visits of the doctor and obtain the "stickers" for the patients from them which she used in billing. Office visits were billed by the time she observed the patients to be in the office, checking the diagnosis from the chart. The respondent himself kept or maintained minimal records of and lists of patients other than medical charts. The record is blank as to whether this system of charging was of her own design, that of the respondent or of Edward Harris.
- D. The record further established that the respondent maintained offices in the "poor part of town"; that his practice was 80% Medi-Cal; that he was the only psychiatrist in Bakersfield available to board and care homes on weekends; and that he never refused his services to anyone for the lack of funds. Local doctors of medicine testified as to the respondent's good character and professional ability.

VII

As a condition of probation the respondent was directed and has served \$16,000 per R.V.S. Code of community service, following the completion of which the probation was terminated.

VIII

The facts herein found to be true were established by clear and convincing evidence.

* * * * *

Pursuant to the foregoing findings of fact, the Administrative Law Judge makes the following determination of issues:

Grounds to suspend or revoke the respondent's license for unprofessional conduct were established pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 490, 2236(a) and (b), 2234(e), 2261, 2220 and 2234.

* * * * *

 $[\]frac{1}{A}$ s a condition of probation, the Court appointed Harris with the approval of the Attorney General's office, to oversee the respondent's billing.

WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:

The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C-15022, heretofore issued to Walter James Grant, M.D., is revoked; provided, however, said order of revocation is stayed for a period of five (5) years upon the following terms and conditions:

- 1. Respondent shall obey all the federal, state and local laws and all rules governing the practice of medicine in California.
- Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division stating where there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.
- Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program.
- Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division's medical consultant upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.
- In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the state, respondent must notify in writing the Division of the dates of departure and return. Periods of residency or practice outside California will not apply to the reduction of this probationary period.
- 6. If respondent violates this probation in any respect, the Division after providing notice to respondent and giving him the opportunity to be heard may set aside the stay order and reimpose the order of revocation of respondent's certificate.

Upon successful completion of the five year period of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.

> I hereby submit the foregoing which constitutes my Proposed Decision in the above entitled matter as a result of the hearing had before me on October 5 and 6, 1982, at Bakersfield, California, and recommend its adoption as the decision of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance.

DATED:)

WILLIS MEVIS Administrative baw Judge Office of Administrative Hearings

WM:ss

1 GEORGE DEUKMEJIAN, Attorney General RUTH ESSEGIAN, 2 Deputy Attorney General 3580 Wilshire Boulevard 3 Los Angeles, California 90010 Telephone: (213) 736-2047 4 Attorneys for Complainant 5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE 9 DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE 10 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 In the Matter of the Accusation 12 D-2834Against: NO. 13 WALTER JAMES GRANT, M.D., ACCUSATION 3641 Country Club Drive 14 Bakersfield, California 15 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C-15022 16 Respondent. 17 18 COMES NOW complainant Robert G. Rowland, who, as cause 19 for disciplinary action, alleges as follows: 20 He is the Executive Secretary of the Board of 21 Medical Quality Assurance ((hereinafter referred to as "board") 22 and makes and files this accusation in his official capacity as 23 such and not otherwise. 24 On or about August 7, 1953, respondent Walter James 25 Grant, M.D. (hereinafter referred to as "respondent"), was issued 26 physician's and surgeon's certificate No. C-15022 by the Board of

27

Medical Examiners (predecessor to the board). At all times mentioned herein, said license has been and now is, in full force and effect. Respondent is a Medi-Cal provider, assigned provider number 00C150220, within the meaning of section 51051 of title 22 of the California Administrative Code, and is certified to receive payment from the State of California under said provider number.

- 3. Pursuant to the provisions of section 2234 of the
 Business and Professions Code (all sectional references are
 to Business and Professions Code unless otherwise noted) formerly
 set forth in substance in sections 2360 and 2361, the Division of
 Medical Quality of the board may discipline any licensee who is
 guilty of unprofessional conduct.
 - 4. Section 490 provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 5. Section 2236, subdivisions (a) and (b) of the code provides as follows:
 - "(a) The conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.
 - "(b) The division may inquire into the

.19

circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime 1 in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine 3 if such conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a 7 charge substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this 10 section."

2

4

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

6. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to sections 490, 2220, 2234, and 2227 of the code in that he is guilty of unprofessional conduct within the meaning of section 2236, subdivisions (a) and (b) as more specifically alleged hereinafter:

A. On or about March 19, 1981, in Superior Court of California, County of Kern, in a case entitled "People of California v. Walter J. Grant, M.D.," case number 21833, respondent, following his plea of guilty, was convicted of felony, grand theft, a violation of California Penal Code section 487(1). Respondent was placed on probation for three years and ordered to pay to the Medi-Cal Program of the State of California the sum of \$21,714.03. Additional conditions of probation included the requirement that any future billings to Medi-Cal be cleared to the satisfaction of the Attorney General. The circumstances surrounding the commission

of the offense are set forth in paragraph 8 and are incorporated herein as though fully set forth at this point.

- B. The underlying facts of said conviction are substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- 7. Welfare and Institutions Code section 14107 provides that any person who, with intent to defraud, presents to the California Medical Assistance Program any false or fraudulent claim for furnishing services or knowingly submits false information for the purpose of obtaining greater compensation than that to which he is legally entitled, is punishable by imprisonment in the county jail not longer than one year or in the state prison not exceeding five years, or by fine not exceeding \$5,000, or by both such fine and imprisonment.
- 8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to sections 2360 and 2362 of the code in that he is guilty of unprofessional conduct within the meaning of section 2261, subdivision (f) of the code in that he has committed acts involving dishonesty and corruption, to wit:

Respondent has made a practice of submitting false claims and information to the California Medical Assistance Program (hereinafter referred to as the "program") for the purpose of obtaining greater reimbursement than that to which he was entitled in violation of Welfare and Institutions Code section 14107 and section 51485 of title 22 of the California

Administrative Code. Specific examples follow: On the following patients $\frac{1}{}$, respondent submitted claims to the program for psychotherapeutic services purportedly rendered to said patients. In truth and in fact, said services were not rendered by respondent to said patients.

7 8	Patient's	Date of Claimed	Check Number	Amount Billed by Doctor and Wrongfully
9	<u>Name</u>	Services	Paid to Doctor	
10	Donna A.	10-20-79	20617421	\$19.20
11		4-5-80	21487356	12.48
12		4-19-80	21487356	12.48
13	David A.	8-25-79	20294917	19.20
14	Susan A.	3-23-80	21366173	14.99
15	Wanda B.	3-23-80	21366173	14.99
16	11	4-5-80	21533641	3.00
17	Randi C.	3-23-80	21487356	17.20
18	11	4-5-80	21691514	6.00
19	Mark C.	10-20-79	20617419	19.20
20	"	4-5-80	21487355	12.48
21		41-9-80	21487355	12.48
22	Ted C.	9-1-79	20676792	32.00
23		10-20-79	20676792	19.20
24		3-23-80	21417898	20./0
25				

^{1.} The full names of the patients are known to complainant and may be provided to respondent upon discovery.

_	1			
1	Ted C.	4-5-80	21487355	12.48
2		4-19-80	21487355	12.48
3	Blanche C.	3-23-80	21366173	14.99
4	,,	4-5-80	21641576	15.00
5	Charlene D.	10-20-79	20617420	19.20
6		3-23-80	21417898	20.70
7	16	4-5-80	21581337	12.48
8	11	4-19-80	21581337	12.48
9	Roy Lee D.	10-20-79	206/6/92	19.20
10	Rocky E.	3-23-80	21366172	14.99
11	.,	4-5-80	21581337	15.00
12	Barbara E.	3-23-80	21366173	15.00
13	Susan E.	8-17-78	1/777881	19.20
14	"	8-31-78	17777881	19.20
15	Lucille F.	10-20-79	20617420	19.20
16	"	4-5-80	21487356	12.48
17		4-19-80	21487356	12.48
18	Mary G.	3-23-80	21417898	20.70
19	,,	4-19-80	21487355	12.48
20	Jesse H.	3-23-80	21323499	14.99
21		4-5-80	21581337	15.00
22	Cuba H.	4-5-80	21487356	12.48
23		4-19-80	21487356	12.48
24	Esther J.	10-20-79	20617420	19.20
25	Robert M.	11-17-79	20983137	19.20
26	Daniel M.	12-19-78	18627276	32.00
27	Joan S.	10-20-79	20617420	19.20

1	Joan S.	:	3-23-80		2141789	8	20.7	0
2	Sharon W.	10	0-20-79		2061742	0	19.2	<u>:0</u>
3						ş	719.7	9
4		On the i	Following	, pat	ients, res	pondent s	ubmit	ted
5	claims	to the pro	ogram for	psy	chotherape	utic serv	ices	in
6	excess	of the act	ual time	of	service re	ndered to	said	I
7	patient	s:						
8								payment
9	Patient's	Date of	Actual Time w/		me Billed	Check No. Paid to		Amount of y Wrong-
10	Named	Service	Patient	To	Medi-Cal	Doctors		y Obtained
11	Donna A.	3-23-80	5-10 m	in.	25 min.	21417898	3	\$6.90
12	Lucille F.	3-23-80	5	12		**		6.90
13	Cuba H.	3-23-80	10		25 "	"		6.90
14								\$20.70
15		On the f	ollowing	pat	ients, res _l	pondent si	ıbmit	ted
16	claims f				services wh			
17					nt, for phy			
18	1				erapy rate:			•
19						Medi-Ca]	L (Over-
20	Patient's	Date of	Check		unts Paid Billed for	Allowand	es j	payment Wrongfully
21	Name	Service	Number		chotherapy	Billed		Obtained
22	Clifford G.	9-1-78	192300	10	\$32.00	\$ 11.04	ļ. <u>.</u>	\$20.96
23	11	9-19-78	182300		32.00	11.04		20.96
24	Debra G.	6-6-79	199317		19.20	11.04		8.16
25	Lorrie G.	7-17-78	176117		32.00	11.04		20.96
26	Mary D.G.	9-19-78	182300		32.00			
27	Lena E.	3-14-79				11.04		20.96
۱ نه	nend E.	3-14-/9	193072	13	32.00	11.04	•	20.96

1	Lena E.	3-28-79	19307213	32.00	11.04	20.96
2	Carol E.	12-13-78	18627274	32.00	11.04	20.96
3	11	12-27-78	18627274	32.00	11.04	20.96
4	Beatrice G.	12-13-78	18627273	32.00	11.04	20.96
5		12-29-78	18627273	32.00	11.04	20.96
6	Torrance H.	4-2-79	19523655	19.20	11.04	8.16
7	Shiana M.	4-2-79	19523655	19.20	11.04	8.16
8	Lidia Z.	5-5-78	17220590	32.00	11.04	20.96
9	и	5-15-78		32.00	11.04	20.96
10	Raquel Z.	10-16-79	20617416	32.00	12.48	19.52
11	Richard Z.	2-26-79	19090597	32.00	11.04	20.96
12	Teodoro Z.	5-5-78	17220590	32.00	11.04	20.96
13	tı .	5-15-78	11	32.00	11.04	20.96
14					:	\$358.40

The total of the examples above is \$1,098.89.

WHEREFORE, complainant prays that the Division of Medical Quality of the board hold a hearing on the matters alleged herein and, following said hearing, issue a decision:

1. Suspending or revoking Physician's and Surgeon's certificate number C-15022, heretofore issued to respondent Walter James Grant, M.D.; and

111

23 ///

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

Taking such other action as the division in its discretion deems necessary and proper under the circumstances. November 24, 1981 DATED: ROBERT G. ROWLAND **Executive Secretary** Board of Medical Quality Assurance State of California Complainant Re:rc 03573110-LA81AD1187